



Robot Kinematics Made Easy using RoboAnalyzer Software

Journal:	<i>Computer Applications in Engineering Education</i>
Manuscript ID	CAE-16-237.R1
Wiley - Manuscript type:	Research Article
Date Submitted by the Author:	n/a
Complete List of Authors:	Othayoth, Ratan ; Johns Hopkins University, Department of Mechanical Engineering Chittawadigi, Rajeevlochana; Amrita School of Engineering, Amrita Vishwa Vidyapeetham University, Mechanical Engineering Joshi, Ravi ; Kyushu Kogyo Daigaku, Department of Human Intelligence Systems Saha, Subir; Indian Institute of Technology Delhi, Department of Mechanical Engineering
Keywords:	robotics, education, robot kinematics, visualization, simulation

SCHOLARONE™
Manuscripts

Review

Robot Kinematics Made Easy using RoboAnalyzer Software

Abstract

RoboAnalyzer is a software based on 3D model of robots. It was developed primarily for teaching and learning of robot mechanics, although it is robust enough for the use by researchers as well. The motive behind the development of RoboAnalyzer was mainly to help teachers and students get started with teaching/learning of robotics using template-based skeleton models or CAD models of serial robots. This minimizes the time otherwise spent on modeling, programming, and simulating the robots from scratch. In this article, we focus on the visualization of the DH parameters used to define a robot's architecture, and the modeling of the robot's input-output motion characteristics, i.e., robot kinematics, using them. The advantages of using RoboAnalyzer to overcome several challenges of learning robotics in a classroom environment are also discussed.

Keywords: robotics, education, robot kinematics, visualization, simulation

1. Introduction

Among various types of robots, serial-chain robots are used extensively in diverse applications in industry, space, healthcare, etc. Hence, it has been observed over the years that majority of the introductory level courses on robotics emphasize substantially on the mechanics of serial robots. Their kinematics and dynamics are generally not very intuitive to teach or learn, as they involve topics from linear algebra, coordinate transformations, and fundamentals of mechanics. Perceiving the geometry, architecture, and motion of the robot using only a textbook as a sole reference medium can be difficult. These factors underscore the need to have a robot learning/teaching software which can complement any textbook on robotics. A good learning tool can make the learning/teaching process more productive. With reduced or almost no effort to create, visualize, and simulate the model of a robot in the CAD

1
2
3 environment, one can spend more time in learning its mechanics. It would also allow the course instructor
4
5 to demonstrate the concepts and the robot motion in a classroom setup more conveniently.
6
7

8
9 Numerous software have been reported in the literature for the simulation of different kinds of robots. A
10
11 thorough review of the software packages available for dynamic simulation of robots is reported in [1],
12
13 where majority of these software need prerequisites such as a clear understanding of robot kinematics and
14
15 dynamics, and a good understanding of an associated programming language. Although these can be
16
17 excellent tools for students with firm grasp of robot mechanics to perform further in-depth analyses or
18
19 research, they are not as effective in aiding a novice learner or student to comprehend robot mechanics.
20
21 On the contrary, it was observed that the number of software that devote attention to teaching/learning of
22
23 robotics is less, which are also in great need. A good review of such robotics learning/teaching software is
24
25 provided in [2] and [3].
26
27

28
29 One of the software for learning/teaching of robotics basics is Robotics Toolbox [4] based on MATLAB
30
31 functions. The latest version of it includes Machine Vision [5] as well. Robotica [6] is a software package
32
33 for robot analysis in Mathematica environment. ROBOT-DRAW [7] is another software designed with
34
35 the aim of aiding the visualization of robot geometry. It is an internet-based visualization tool based on
36
37 Virtual Reality Markup Language (VRML). It presents several customizable robot models which help to
38
39 study the effect of the DH (Denavit-Hartenberg) parameters on the robot architecture. It also has
40
41 provisions for forward and inverse kinematics. RIO (Robotics Illustrative Software) [8] is an attempt in
42
43 creating a web-based framework for learning robotics. It has a user interface that can be displayed using a
44
45 web browser where virtual models of several robots are available. An educational Virtual Laboratory [9]
46
47 was also introduced for teaching robotics. It allows the simulation of a virtual robot using a teach pendant.
48
49 An advanced version of it was later released as RobUALab, which was integrated into a robotics course.
50
51 The same research group has recently released a Java based robotics learning framework known as EjSRL
52
53 [10]. It is an interactive software that allows modelling and simulation of generic serial-link robot
54
55 manipulators. It also comes with implementation of a computer-vision algorithm and advanced functions
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 for robot analysis. Simulation and remote-triggered control of an actual robot was discussed in [11] as a
4 part of Virtual Labs, which allows a user to control a robot through internet. However, only one user can
5 control the robot at a time. Some web-based approaches for aiding robotics education were reported in
6 [12, 13]. Robotect [14] is a software aimed at designing and analysis of serial-robot manipulators. V-REP
7 [15] is another one for robotics learning and simulation. It allows a user to simulate robot manipulators
8 and mobile robots in various environments by introducing virtual sensors and actuators. RoKiSim [16] is
9 yet another software for robot simulation that allows Cartesian and joint-level jogging of six-axes serial
10 robots. Recently, it was upgraded as RoboDK [17] and is being developed under the new name. On a
11 similar note, Webots [18] is a development environment which focuses on modeling, programming and
12 simulation of mobile robots. It aims to reduce the time spent on developing mobile robot applications and
13 has functionalities to interface with mobile robot hardware. ARTE [19] is another MATLAB toolbox that
14 allows simulation and visualization of robot manipulators, both serial and parallel. It allows visualization
15 of robot models, jogging of robot models using teach pendant, and plotting of simulation results.
16 Recently, Build-A-Robot [2] was reported which uses MATLAB-Simulink to generate VRML models of
17 robot links for effective visualization of DH parameters. Though it is a good tool to learn DH parameters,
18 it has a dependency on MATLAB, which is not accessible to many students. An offline robot simulation
19 toolbox called ROBOLAB is reported in [20] that focuses on educational users and helps to understand
20 robot mechanics using 3D simulations.
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42

43 While the above software emphasize on robotics education, other robot simulators cater to industrial and
44 advanced research applications. For example, Architecture Design and Robot Simulation (ADRS) [21] is
45 a tool that helps a user to design serial manipulators – a GUI that allows one to interactively build a serial
46 robot. This was developed as a part of ADEFID [22] industrial package which is a set of graphics based
47 modules for research in mechanical systems and industrial applications. Recently, a new module called
48 SnAM (Serial n-Axis Manipulators) [23] has been added to the ADEFID package that allows forward and
49 inverse kinematics of serial robots. Of late, Robot Operating System (ROS) [24] has been introduced into
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 industrial (ROS Industrial) and research applications as a common standard. For ROS based applications,
4 simulation and visualization are often based on the Gazebo [25] simulator. While the software mentioned
5 in this paragraph are powerful for industrial and research applications, they often have steep learning
6 curves for the beginners in a classroom environment.
7
8
9
10

11
12 As evident from the above literature survey, several good functionalities are required in a teaching
13 software. Some of them were implemented in the abovementioned software based on the importance felt
14 by their developers. However, many are left out which may appear in their future versions. One of them is
15 the concept of Denavit and Hartenberg (DH) [26] parameters used to define the architecture of a robot. It
16 is ever confusing due to the existence of several versions in the literature that are evident from many
17 standard text books on robotics, e.g., [27] and [28]. Hence, this feature was introduced in robot
18 teaching/learning software, RoboAnalyzer (RA), developed by the authors [29-32] but never emphasized
19 or highlighted in the earlier publications. The RA encapsulates most of the other important features which
20 are specifically required by the students to learn the subject of robotics in a more enjoyable way. A
21 typical screenshot of the RA application is shown in Figure 1, whereas the flowchart of programming-
22 level implementations was reported in [29, 30]. In order to avoid repetition and save space, they are not
23 given here. Interested readers may kindly refer [29, 30].
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38

39 The design philosophy behind RoboAnalyzer was as follows: While learning robot mechanics, its physics
40 must not be obscured by the underlying mathematics, and must be clearly understood by a student as if he
41 or she is moving a real robot. It has been developed with an objective of teaching and learning robotics
42 using few regular shapes of the links. That way a student can get started with the kinematic and dynamic
43 analyses of the robots without spending too much time in learning CAD modelling and/or programming a
44 real robot if it exists. Though the authors agree that modelling and programming a robot model using a
45 CAD or simulation software or programming a real robot could be a constructive experience, students
46 may loose valuable time that could be otherwise spent on learning robotics. On the other hand, derivations
47 of kinematic and dynamic equations by the students could be a real learning and rewarding experience,
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 but some may not even attempt it due to the associated complexities. Hence, the need of RoboAnalyzer is
4 justified.
5
6

7
8 In this paper, RoboAnalyzer (RA) software and its application in teaching only robot kinematics are
9 presented even though the software can perform dynamics as well as some trajectory generation. This is
10 intentional as it can be elaborated on how to fully exploit the RA software with respect to at least one
11 important topic in robotics. The emphasis is given on the visualization of the DH parameters used to
12 define a robot's architecture, and the problems or challenges that are faced while teaching or learning
13 robot kinematics and how RA can be effective. To bring out the strengths of RoboAnalyzer software with
14 regard to other similar existing software, a comparison is done in Table 1, where '●' and 'X' imply
15 feature "available" and "not available", respectively.
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25

26 27 **2. Teaching Robot Architecture**

28
29 In a typical course on robotics, its architecture or geometry is described using an approach which is
30 commonly referred to as the Denavit and Hartenberg (DH) parameters [26]. Further, robot kinematics
31 requires matrix algebra, coordinate transformations and multivariate equations, which will be taken up in
32 Section 3. They are not very intuitive if only a textbook is used. However, a robotics teaching/learning
33 software with a visualization environment can help understand their physical manifestations, and thus
34 helping one to understand the concepts better.
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42

43 **2.1 Visualization of DH parameters**

44
45 The description of robot architecture using the DH parameters can be fully appreciated if they are related
46 to their underlying coordinate transformations. Given the limitations in a classroom environment, it may
47 be difficult to understand the essence of these 3-dimensional transformations using the textbook figures
48 alone. A 3D animation environment can help demonstrate the coordinate transformations associated with
49 the four DH parameters, i.e., joint offset (b), joint angle (θ), link length (a), and twist angle (α) of two
50 neighboring links coupled by a one-DOF joint, and how they correspond to the physical architecture of
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 the robot. This is a fundamental concept a beginner must understand or his/her instructor must provide.
4
5 RoboAnalyzer provides a readymade solution towards that objective. It essentially helps a user to
6
7 correlate the four DH parameters to the four underlying elementary transformations, which are either a
8
9 translation or a rotation along or about an axis, respectively. More details of the DH parameters used in
10
11 RoboAnalyzer are available in [27, 29].
12
13

14
15 Figure 2 illustrates how two elementary transformations associated to joint offset and twist angle can be
16
17 visualized in RoboAnalyzer. Figures 2(a) and 2(b) show them, respectively, for a Revolute-Revolute (RR)
18
19 manipulator. A coordinate frame is drawn in the initial configuration which is then animated by
20
21 translation and rotation depending on the DH parameter, as indicated in Figures 2(a) and 2(b),
22
23 respectively. Simultaneously, the DH parameter is highlighted in the DH parameter table of the user
24
25 interface shown in Figure 1.
26
27

28 **2.2 Effect of DH parameters on robot architecture**

29
30 Once the mathematical description of DH parameters has been associated with their underlying
31
32 coordinate transformations, the next step is to understand its effect on the physical configuration of the
33
34 robot, i.e., how a change in DH parameters can affect the architecture of the robot and vice versa.
35
36 Explaining and understanding the same in a classroom environment necessitates the use of multiple
37
38 diagrams. A better approach towards overcoming this hurdle would be to have a 3D visualization
39
40 environment like RoboAnalyzer using its skeleton models. An actual robot model cannot be used for this
41
42 purpose, as its DH parameters were decided by its manufacturer.
43
44
45

46
47 The proposed software offers an alternate representation of an existing industrial robot, say, KUKA KR5
48
49 Arc, using the skeleton models whose kinematic and dynamic analyses results are same provided the DH
50
51 and other parameters are entered correctly. It is just the visualization of the link shapes which differ.
52
53 Otherwise kinematically and dynamically they are same. One can then vary the link parameters by
54
55 changing the DH parameters to see the effects on kinematic and dynamic performances. This provides
56
57 learners a way to explore variations in the given architecture of an industrial robot. It will also help in
58
59
60

1
2
3 conceptualizing a new design. Basically, a user can experiment with a multitude of robots, circumventing
4 the hassles of coding, or creating different models in a 3D environment. This capability is illustrated in
5 Figure 3(a) in which an RRR robot is shown whose motion is restricted to a plane. The corresponding DH
6 parameters are also shown. By varying the DH parameters under α , as shown in Figure 3(b), a different
7 configuration of the RRR robot can be obtained whose motion is spatial. Similar customization is possible
8 for robots with different DOFs.
9

10
11 In-line with the above discussion, RoboAnalyzer can offer the user with the ability to create robots of
12 different architecture and degrees-of-freedom (DOF). Different industrial/research applications require
13 different robot architectures. For example, SCARA (Selective Compliance Assembly Robot Arm) is
14 preferred for pick and place operations, while a 7-DOF arm allows to reach more number of
15 configurations in the workspace. To facilitate the need to illustrate the concept and to provide better
16 flexibility to robotics researchers, RoboAnalyzer allows the creation of such customized robot models of
17 any DOF and architecture. To a novice user, this would aid his or her imagination of the type of various
18 robot architectures, while an advanced user can use the software to arrive at an optimal robot architecture
19 required for an application. The available feature is shown in Figure 4. The 'Add New Robot' window of
20 Figure 4 (a) allows the user to create serial robots of any DOF by specifying the type of joints and
21 corresponding DH parameters. The generated 3D model of the robot is shown in Figure 4 (b).
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41

42 **2.3 Homogenous transformation matrices**

43
44 The numeric values of the 4×4 Homogeneous Transformation Matrices (HTMs) are required for
45 kinematic and dynamic analyses, as they describe the position and orientation of the robot links. But it is
46 tedious and time-consuming to calculate the HTMs for a pair of DH frames fixed to any two links at
47 every time instant of the robot's movement. The target audience of beginner-level students would find it
48 difficult to do the same for learning and doing assignments. In RoboAnalyzer, the HTMs for different
49 links are available to the user in the GUI. This would allow them to validate their results, especially in a
50 classroom and during practical sessions. The HTM for a particular configuration of an RR robot is shown
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 in Figure 5, where F_1 and F_3 are the frames attached to the base link (Link 0) and the last link (Link 2),
4
5
6 respectively.
7
8

9 10 **3. Teaching Robot Kinematics**

11
12 Robot kinematics involves two fundamental tasks, namely, forward and inverse kinematics. The former
13
14 deals with the calculation of the pose of a robot's end-effector (EE) from the joint variables, while the
15
16 latter involves the determination of all possible joint variables corresponding to a given EE pose. Similar
17
18 to the problems discussed in Section 2, there are some inherent difficulties faced by the students and
19
20 teachers while understanding and teaching the robot kinematics, respectively. They are discussed below.
21
22

23 24 **3.1 Forward kinematics**

25
26 In forward kinematics, pose, i.e., the position and orientation of the EE of a robot is determined by
27
28 multiplying the HTMs sequentially. While the numerical calculation is straightforward, the symbolic
29
30 forward kinematic equations in terms of different joint variables can be lengthy. As a result,
31
32 understanding the robot motion when all the joint variables change can be difficult. Also, the robot
33
34 motion can be done in the joint space as well as in EE's Cartesian space. It is important to clarify these
35
36 points in an introductory course on robot kinematics. Using the 'FKin' module of RoboAnalyzer one can
37
38 perform the forward kinematics analysis and visualize the robot's motion between initial and final joint
39
40 configurations without immediately bothering about the overall analytical expressions. The motion of the
41
42 robot and the change in its EE pose or configuration can be visualized. The animation of forward
43
44 kinematic analysis of KUKA KR5 Arc robot is shown in Figure 6(a). The corresponding plots for the EE
45
46 position are shown in Figure 6(b). It is suggested here that once a student understood the physical
47
48 behavior of a robot through the animation in RoboAnalyzer, he or she should perform the matrix
49
50 operations himself or herself for further clarity on the subject.
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

3.2 Trajectories for joint motion

While moving a joint from an initial to a final position within certain time, different joint trajectories can be employed. Each joint trajectory is characterized by its position, velocity, and acceleration. Commonly studied trajectories to provide smooth motion to a robot avoiding jerky motion or vibration are cosine, cubic, quintic, cycloidal, etc. Based on a selected joint trajectory, the robot's joint will move. In general, calculation of a trajectory for each joint is time consuming. RoboAnalyzer provides the user with commonly used trajectories which can be selected from its GUI. After this, the simulation can be performed. This would help a user to quickly compare and demonstrate the effects of different joint trajectories on kinematics of a robot. Advanced users can provide their joint trajectory in a specified file format and use it for performing forward kinematics and animation of the robot's motion. The plots for position, velocity, and acceleration for a revolute joint when it is moved in a cycloidal trajectory from 0° to 30° are shown in Figure 7.

3.3 Inverse kinematics

Unlike forward kinematics problem which has a unique solution, inverse kinematics problem of a typical industrial robot is not straight forward, mainly, owing to the existence of multiple solutions of the highly non-linear trigonometric functions. While the forward kinematics has a generic procedure for all robot architectures, there is no generic inverse kinematics solution possible that can accommodate all robot architectures. One has to resort to a numerical algorithm for the solution of corresponding kinematic constraint equations. To obtain solutions to the inverse kinematics problem, one is required to solve multiple multivariate transcendental equations. Sometimes no solution may exist for a given input pose. Such aspects make the topic of inverse kinematics relatively difficult in an introductory course on robotics.

The inverse kinematics module of RoboAnalyzer [31] was designed to tackle the above issues. The closed-form solutions of the inverse kinematics problem of several commonly discussed robots in a textbook were implemented. The users can supply the position and orientation of the EE in the form of

1
2
3 the Homogeneous Transformation Matrix (HTM) containing 3×3 rotation matrix and the 3-dimensional
4 end-effector position, and then obtain all possible solutions, if they exist. The solver will supply multiple
5 solutions, after which each solution can be visualized in the 3D model viewer. This would help the user in
6 appreciating various solutions for a single EE pose. The focus here is not the computational efficiency of
7 the inverse kinematics solution, but the ease with which the solutions can be obtained and visualized.
8 Using these, students can verify their results. The inverse kinematics solutions obtained for a given EE
9 configuration of the planar RRR robot of Figure 3(a) is shown in Figure 8(a).
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17

18 It also has the functionality to perform the joint motion between any two of the solutions. This helps a
19 user to confirm that the same pose of the EE can be achieved using different joint configurations.
20 Visualization of multiple solutions is a unique feature of the inverse kinematics module of RoboAnalyzer.
21 The two possible solutions for a planar RRR robot as obtained in RoboAnalyzer are shown in Figures
22 8(b) and 8(c).
23
24
25
26
27
28
29

30 31 **3.4 Motion in joint and Cartesian spaces**

32 A robot can be controlled in joint or in Cartesian space. The difference between them should be
33 thoroughly understood by the students to program an industrial robot. The Virtual Robot Module (VRM)
34 of RoboAnalyzer [30] was designed to mimic a teach-pendant of a commercially available industrial
35 robot. It has over 17 CAD models of commonly used industrial robots. The teach-pendant-like interface
36 can be used to jog the robot at joint-level or at Cartesian-level, and can be used to emphasize the
37 differences between the two types of motion inputs. The joint control panel of the VRM shown in Figure
38 9(a) allows the user to move the robot in the joint space by varying each joint angle. The robot can also be
39 moved in the Cartesian space along the X , Y , or Z axes. The orientation can be changed by modifying the
40 Euler angles (A , B , and C). The Cartesian control panel of the VRM is shown in Figure 9(b). The user can
41 move the robot relative to its EE frame or with respect to the world frame. These features help students to
42 understand different concepts used in robot motion and programming, even in the absence of an actual
43 robot. The VRM also provides the user to teach a trajectory to a robot either in joint space or Cartesian
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 space, record it and then playback later. The authors believe that this would help in introducing offline
4
5 robot programming to a beginner, in a virtual environment. The VRM was also developed to act as a
6
7 Microsoft COM (Component Object Model) server which can be used from applications such as
8
9 MATLAB and Microsoft Excel [32]. In addition, it has been interfaced with Robotics Toolbox [4] to act
10
11 as a good visualization tool for the analyses performed in the MATLAB-based functions. The screenshots
12
13 of VRM COM server are shown in Figure 10.
14
15

16 17 **4. Advantages of RoboAnalyzer** 18

19
20 The most obvious advantages of RoboAnalyzer are as follows:
21

- 22
23 1) It allows quick demonstration and simulation of a serial robot
- 24
25 2) It can be easily employed to demonstrate the concepts of DH parameters, coordinate
26
27 transformations, HTMs, etc.
- 28
29 3) Since programming and the knowledge of CAD are not prerequisites for using RoboAnalyzer, it
30
31 helps students to get started almost instantly. When students do not have access to an actual robot,
32
33 near realistic motion simulations and animation can be performed using RoboAnalyzer and the
34
35 results can be verified.
36
37

38
39 The features of RoboAnalyzer discussed in Sections 2 and 3 help in learning and teaching of robots, along
40
41 with any standard textbook in Robotics. It has been used by one of the authors at his institute for creating
42
43 a structured practical coursework for the course 'Mechanics of Robots,' where students were asked to
44
45 perform virtual experiments using RoboAnalyzer and validate their results with data from an actual robot.
46
47 Various workshops and training programs were also conducted to familiarize students and faculty with
48
49 the use of RoboAnalyzer for robotics education in different parts of India. An introductory robotics course
50
51 with integrated virtual experiments using RoboAnalyzer was proposed in [3].
52
53

54
55 Based on the feedback received from students and teachers who used RoboAnalyzer in academia, the
56
57 salient features of RoboAnalyzer have been rated on a scale of 5. The results are shown in Figure 11 with
58
59
60

1
2
3 the mean and standard deviation. The user feedback also suggests that the feature of visualizing DH
4 parameters is the second most accepted one among users. It is to be noted here that this a unique feature
5 of RoboAnalyzer and has not yet been reported in any other robotics teaching software. This was also not
6
7 discussed in detail by the authors in their earlier publications.
8
9
10

11
12 Although RoboAnalyzer is good for teaching and learning the concepts of robotics, compared to other
13 software like Robotics Toolbox [4] and VREP [15], it currently does not provide the flexibility and
14 programming environment to perform advanced analyses. This is because the original objective of the
15 RoboAnalyzer was to assist a student to learn robot mechanics in a fun and independent way outside the
16 classroom at his or her pace. It also aids a teacher to make the subject interesting by showing robot
17 animations and the effects of kinematic parameters on a robot architecture almost instantly. It is currently
18 available only on Windows platform, while VREP [15], Robotics Toolbox [4], and RoKiSim [16] are
19 available for Windows, Linux and MAC operating systems. To overcome some of the shortcomings,
20 continuous efforts are being made to make RoboAnalyzer a better software to teach and learn robotics.
21
22 Considering the above discussed advantages and shortcomings, the authors believe that RoboAnalyzer is
23 a good tool for beginners.
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36

37 **5. Conclusions**

38
39 Teaching and learning robotics involve advanced level concepts from mathematics to mechanics to
40 trajectory planning and control. Explaining them in a classroom environment may be challenging. A
41 teaching software like RoboAnalyzer presents an effective way to overcome most of the above
42 challenges. It has different modules for visualization, kinematics, dynamics, and plotting, which can help
43 a student to correlate the physics of a robot to the mathematics involved. The strongest feature of the
44 software and the main contribution of this paper is the visualization of the DH parameters and their
45 effective use in relating the input-output motion characteristics of a given robot. RoboAnalyzer software
46 can be downloaded free from <http://www.roboanalyzer.com>, where its video demos are also available.
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

References

- [1]. S. Ivaldi, V. Padois and F. Nori, "Tools for dynamics simulation of robots: a survey based on user feedback," *arXiv preprint*, arXiv: 1402.7050, 2014.
- [2]. M. Flanders and R. Kavanaugh, "Build-A-Robot: Using virtual reality to visualize the Denavit–Hartenberg parameters", *Comput Appl Eng Edu*, vol. 23, no. 6, 2015, pp 846- 853.
- [3]. R. Sadanand, R. P. Joshi, R. G. Chittawadigi, and S. K. Saha, "Virtual Experiments for Integrated Teaching and Learning of Robot Mechanics using RoboAnalyzer", 28th International Conference on CAD/CAM, Robotics and Factories of the Future (CARS-FOF2016), Kolaghat, India, 2016.
- [4]. P. Corke, "A robotics toolbox for MATLAB," *IEEE Robot. Autom. Mag.*, vol. 3, no. 1, 1996, pp 24–32.
- [5]. P. Corke, "MATLAB toolboxes: robotics and vision for students and teachers," *IEEE Robot. Autom. Mag.*, vol. 14(4), 2007, pp 16–17.
- [6]. J.F. Nethery and M.W. Spong, "Robotica: A Mathematica package for robot analysis", *IEEE Robot. Autom. Mag.*, vol.1, 1994, pp 13–20.
- [7]. M. F. Robinette and R. Manseur, "ROBOT-DRAW, an Internet-based visualization tool for robotics education," *IEEE Trans. Educ.*, vol. 44(1), 2001, pp 29-34.
- [8]. A. Lobov, J. L. M. Lastra and R. Tuokko, "A collaborative framework for learning robot mechanics: RIO -robotics illustrative software," *ASEE/IEEE Frontiers in Educ. Conf.*, 2003, pp. 12-16.
- [9]. F. A. Candelas, S.T. Puente, F. Torres, F. G. Ortiz, P. Gil and J. Pomares, "A virtual laboratory for teaching robotics," *Int. J. Eng. Educ.*, vol. 19(3), 2003, pp 363-370.
- [10]. C. A. Jara, F. A. Candelas, J Pomares, and F. Torres, "Java software platform for the development for advanced robotic virtual laboratories," *Comput Appl Eng Educ*, vol 21, 2013, pp14-30.
- [11]. A.T. Navaraja, N. Jain, D. Sengupta, and C.S. Kumar, "Web Based Simulation and Remote Triggered Laboratory for Robots," 28th International Conference on CAD/CAM, Robotics and Factories of the Future, 2016, pp. 665-677.

- 1
2
3 [12]. E. E. Danahy, A. Goswamy and C. B. Rogers, "Future of robotics education: The design and
4 creation of interactive notebooks for teaching robotics concepts," *IEEE Int. Conf. Technologies for*
5 *Practical Robot Applicat.*, 2008, pp. 131-136.
6
7
8
9
10 [13]. G. T McKee, "The development of Internet-based laboratory environments for teaching robotics and
11 artificial intelligence," *IEEE Int. Conf. Robot. and Autom.*, vol. 3, 2002, pp. 2695-2700.
12
13
14 [14]. H.D. Nayar, "Robotect: serial-link manipulator design software for modeling, visualization and
15 performance analysis," *7th Int. Conf. Control, Autom., Robot. and Vision*, 2002, pp. 1360-1364.
16
17
18 [15]. M. Freese, S. Singh, F. Ozaki and N. Matsuhira, "Virtual robot experimentation platform V-REP: a
19 versatile 3D robot simulator," *Int. Conf. Simulation, Modeling, and Programming for Autonomous*
20 *Robots*, 2010, pp. 51-62.
21
22
23 [16]. RoKiSim - <http://www.parallemic.org/RoKiSim.html> . Accessed in June 2016.
24
25
26 [17]. RoboDK - <http://www.robodk.com> . Accessed in June 2016.
27
28
29 [18]. O. Michel, "Webots: Professional mobile robot simulation," *Int. J. Advanced Robot. Syst.*, vol. 1(1),
30 2004.
31
32
33 [19]. A. Gil, O. Reinoso, J. M. Marin, L. Paya, and J. Ruiz, "Development and deployment of a new
34 robotics toolbox for education". *Comput. Appl. Eng. Educ.*, vol 23, 2015, pp. 443-454.
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45 [20]. S. Kucuk and Z. Bingul, "An off-line robot simulation toolbox", *Comput Appl Eng Edu*, vol. 18,
46 2010, pp. 41-52.
47
48
49 [21]. M. A. Gonzalez-Palacios, "Advanced engineering platform for industrial development", *J. App.*
50 *Research and Tech.*, vol 10, no. 3, 2012, pp 309-326.
51
52
53 [22]. ADEFID – <http://adefid.com> . Accessed June 2016.
54
55
56 [23]. M. A. Gonzalez-Palacios, E. A. Gonzalez-Barbosa, and L. A. Aguilera-Cortes, "SnAM: a simulation
57 software on serial manipulators", *Engg with Computers*, vol 29, 2013, pp 87-94.
58
59
60

- 1
2
3 [24]. M. Quigley, K. Conley, B. Gerkey, J. Faust, T. Foote, J. Leibs, E. Berger, R. Wheeler, and A. Ng,
4
5 "ROS: an open-source Robot Operating System". In *ICRA Workshop on Open Source Software*, Vol.
6
7 3, No. 3.2, 2009.
8
9
10 [25]. ROS - Gazebo Plugin <http://wiki.ros.org/gazebo> . Accessed on June 2016.
11
12 [26]. J. Denavit and R. S. Hartenberg, "A kinematic notation for lower-pair mechanisms based on
13
14 matrices," *Trans. ASME J. Appl. Mech.*, 1955, pp. 215–221.
15
16 [27]. S. K. Saha, "Introduction to Robotics - 2nd edition," *McGraw-Hill Higher Education*, New Delhi,
17
18 2014.
19
20 [28]. J. J. Craig, "Introduction to Robotics: Mechanics and Control - 3rd edition," *Pearson India*,
21
22 New Delhi, 2005.
23
24 [29]. C. G. Rajeevlochana and S. K. Saha, "RoboAnalyzer: 3D Model Based Robotic Learning Software,"
25
26 *Int. Conf. Multi Body Dynamics*, 2011, pp. 3-13.
27
28 [30]. R. Sadanand, R. G. Chittawadigi, and S. K. Saha, "Virtual Robot Simulation in RoboAnalyzer," *1st*
29
30 *Int. and 16th Nat. Conf. Mach. and Mechanisms*, 2013.
31
32 [31]. J. Bahuguna, R. G. Chittawadigi and S. K. Saha, "Teaching and Learning of Robot Kinematics
33
34 Using RoboAnalyzer Software," *1st Int. Conf. Advances in Robotics*, 2013.
35
36 [32]. R. Sadanand, R. G. Chittawadigi, R. P. Joshi and S. K. Saha, "Virtual Robots Module: An Effective
37
38 Visualization Tool for Robotics Toolbox," *2nd Int. Conf. Advances in Robotics*, 2015.
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

Figures and Tables Captions

Figure 1. Graphical User Interface (GUI) of RoboAnalyzer (RA)

Figure 2. Visualization of DH parameters in RoboAnalyzer

Figure 3. Customizable serial robots in RoboAnalyzer

Figure 4. Creating robots of different architecture

Figure 5. Visualization of an HTM between two DH frames

Figure 6. Forward kinematics of KUKA KR5 Arc in RoboAnalyzer

Figure 7. Cycloidal trajectory for the position of a joint and its corresponding velocity and acceleration

Figure 8. Inverse kinematics of the RRR robot of Figure 3(a)


Figure 9. Joint and Cartesian motions of the robot in Virtual Robots Module (VRM)

Figure 10. Virtual Robots Module (VRM) as a COM server

Figure 11. User feedback results of RoboAnalyzer

Table 1. Comparison of features of different robotics teaching/learning software


1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60



Graphical User Interface (GUI) of RoboAnalyzer (RA)

232x143mm (96 x 96 DPI)

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60




Visualization of DH parameters in RoboAnalyzer

330x86mm (96 x 96 DPI)


For Peer Review

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60



i	$b_i(m)$	$\theta_i(^{\circ})$	$a_i(m)$	$\alpha_i(^{\circ})$
1	0	0	0.1	0
2	0	0	0.125	0
3	0	0	0.1	0

(a) Planar RRR robot



i	$b_i(m)$	$\theta_i(^{\circ})$	$a_i(m)$	$\alpha_i(^{\circ})$
1	0.1	0	0.1	90
2	0.1	0	0.125	90
3	0.1	0	0.1	90

(b) Spatial RRR robot

Customizable serial robots in RoboAnalyzer


315x143mm (96 x 96 DPI)

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

RoboAnalyzer: Add New Robot (Skeleton Model)

Enter DOF: 9 OK Create Robot Cancel

Joint No	Joint Type	Joint Offset (b) m	Joint Angle (theta) deg	Link Length (a) m	Twist Angle (alpha) deg	Initial Value (JV) deg or m	Final Value (JV) deg or m
1	Revolute	0.05	Variable	0.1	0	0	90
2	Prismatic	Variable	90	0.1	0	0	0.1
3	Revolute	0.05	Variable	0.1	0	0	90
4	Prismatic	Variable	90	0.1	0	0	0.1
5	Revolute	0.05	Variable	0.1	0	0	90
6	Prismatic	Variable	90	0.1	0	0	0.1
7	Revolute	0.05	Variable	0.1	0	0	90
8	Revolute	0.05	Variable	0.1	0	0	90
9	Revolute	0.05	Variable	0.1	0	0	90



(a) 'Add New Robot' module in RoboAnalyzer


(b) Nine-DOF RPRPRPRRR robot in RoboAnalyzer

Creating robots of different architecture

328x135mm (96 x 96 DPI)

Peer Review

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60



(a) Visualization

Link2 Base Frame Update

0.500005	-0.5	0.707103	120.71156
0.5	-0.499995	-0.70711	120.710313
0.707103	0.70711	0	120.710313
0	0	0	1

3x3 rotation matrix defining the orientation of F_3 with respect to F_1

Position of O_3 with respect to O_1


(b) Homogeneous transformation matrix (HTM)

Visualization of an HTM between two DH frames


309x107mm (96 x 96 DPI)

Peer Review

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60



(a) Animation of the robot




(b) Plots of EE's position

Forward kinematics of KUKA KR5 Arc in RoboAnalyzer

332x120mm (96 x 96 DPI)


Peer Review

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60



Cycloidal trajectory for the position of a joint and its corresponding velocity and acceleration

197x141mm (96 x 96 DPI)




Inverse kinematics of the RRR robot of Figure 3(a)

331x129mm (96 x 96 DPI)

Peer Review

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60




(a) Jogging the robot in joint space

(b) Motion in Cartesian space

Joint and Cartesian motions of the robot in Virtual Robots Module (VRM)

322x137mm (96 x 96 DPI)

Peer Review



(a) Interface with MATLAB

(b) Interface with Microsoft Excel


Virtual Robots Module (VRM) as a COM server

337x124mm (96 x 96 DPI)

Peer Review

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60



User feedback results of RoboAnalyzer

166x104mm (96 x 96 DPI)

Table 1. Comparison of features of different robotics teaching/learning software

Software Features	Robotics Toolbox [4, 5]	V-REP [13]	RoboDK [15]	ARTE [17]	EJS + EJSRL [8, 9]	Build-A- Robot [2]	RoboAnalyzer
Schematic skeleton models	●	●	X	●	●	●	●
Actual robot CAD models	●	●	●	●	●	X	●
Customizable robots	●	●	X	●	●	●	●
Degrees-of- freedom	Any, using commands	Any, using commands	Up to 6	Up to 6	Any, using commands	Up to 7	Any, using GUI
Multiple robots within same environment	●	●	●	●	●	X	X
Visualization of coordinate frames	●	●	●	●	●	●	●
Animation of homogeneous transformations	Using commands	Using commands	Not available	Using code	X	X	Using GUI
Animation of robot motion	●	●	●	●	●	●	●
End-effector trace	●	●	●	●	●	X	●
Plots	●	●	X	●	●	X	●
Trajectory models	●	●	●	●	●	X	●
Multiple solutions for inverse kinematics	●	●	●	●	X	X	●
Coding Prerequisites required	MATLAB	MATLAB	For advanced operation	MATLAB	JAVA	No	No
Display of transformation data	By command	By command	On-screen	By command	By command	On-screen	On-screen
Interfacing with MATLAB	MATLAB based	●	X	MATLAB based	●	MATLAB based	●

171x199mm (96 x 96 DPI)